

Appendix 2 - Outdoor Sports Centre - Considerations of the Public Consultation feedback

Closing after 12 weeks on the 31st October 2021, respondents were asked to comment on a 'Draft Masterplan of Improvements' proposed to the Outdoor Sports Centre. The Draft Masterplan on which the Public Consultation was based started with a process around 8 years ago and has now benefitted from considerable input from local clubs and stakeholders. The Consultation received 2,544 responses with 4800 free text comments and quantitative input summarised in 40no. slides (Appendix 1). The Consultation identified 12 specific areas at the site plus an overall section for feedback on the proposals. Feedback and respective responses have been summarised in the below columns - titled 'Broad themes', 'Further detail', 'Officer response' (where appropriate) and 'Actions proposed' (where appropriate).

It should be noted, in reading all the feedback below, that this Appendix provides the baseline on which the project commits to continue exploring opportunities for improvement, innovation, effective investment, partnership and service enhancement to the project scope as part of a longer-term vision for the Outdoor Sports Centre.

New Hub proposals

Consultation feedback		Officer response	Actions proposed
Broad themes	Further detail		
Quantitative feedback	Overall, 95% agreed overall (of this, 71% strongly agreed and 24% agreed) and 3% disagreed overall (of this, 2% disagreed and 1% strongly disagreed)	The Hub replaces the current changing block, which is in very poor condition. The Hub is crucial to the overall success of the project and has been the subject of considerable consultation with operators and user groups.	Officers will continue to consult with users and stakeholders to develop and fine tune design, layout and operational aspects.
Positive comments	General positive comments about the New Hub (24 comments)	The Hub replaces the current changing rooms. The introduction of a Gym is a key commercial driver for the site, therefore support for the design is welcomed. The Gym will have a wide programme of use and appeal, ranging from strength and conditioning for performance athletes of resident clubs, plus exercise referral classes run in conjunction with public health teams. The Café will facilitate a social aspect to the surrounding improved facilities.	
	Upgraded changing / showering facilities are welcomed (20 comments)		
	Gym will be good (10 comments)		
	Cafe would be welcomed (8 comments)		

Consultation feedback		Officer response	Actions proposed
Broad themes	Further detail		
	Other positive comments (2 comments)	Clubs based at the site will have a permanent base where they can also meet, coach and provide training development courses.	
Concerns and negative comments	Indoor gym is not needed / enough in the City (28 comments)	The Gym will provide a unique programme and appeal, linking the unique outdoor amenities and will encourage a year-round use of the site.	Programmes are to be developed with the operator, which will be differentiated from local competition and meet desired outcomes of the users and the clubs on site.
	Concerns about the Hub being an indoor venue / overdevelopment of buildings on the site (12 comments)	Officers have worked closely with planning officers and also ecology experts regarding the proposals. The hub will replace the existing building that provides changing and toilet facilities at the OSC. As well as renewing the existing facilities, the inclusion in the Draft Masterplan of a Sports Hall will enable a year-round use of the site.	
	Other disagreements / concerns (8 comments)	SCC will work with the operator to encourage prices to be affordable and competitive. Further work is to be completed to review these issues.	
	Concerns about pricing of the price of the gym (3 comments)		
	Other (3 comments)		
Suggestion comments	Mini golf / putting green should be kept / improved (77 comments)	Mini golf usage has been low for many years.	Further consideration for the provision of mini golf will be undertaken by Officers.
	Include a multi-sport indoor sports hall (31 comments)	The hall is intended to accommodate tennis and netball and training for Southampton Athletics Club to allow for year-round use, rather than a multi-use sports hall which is beyond the budget as it may be larger and involve a more expensive specification.	Discuss programme of use with Operator with a view to maximising multi-sport use in the hall.

Consultation feedback		Officer response	Actions proposed
Broad themes	Further detail		
	The hub / gym / changing rooms need to have disabled access (14 comments)	The design of the building will accommodate access for disabled people.	
	Offer classes / studio rooms in the gym (e.g. yoga) (14 comments)	Classes will be programmed in the upstairs multi-purpose rooms in the Hub.	
	Other general suggestions about the New Hub (9 comments)		
	Other suggestions for the gym (5 comments)	The design of the buildings will reflect the green setting and environment and will be BREAM excellent.	
	Consider the design of buildings / architecture (4 comments)	Family changing facilities are included in the Hub.	
	Need family areas within the Hub (4 comments)	SCC will work with the operator to encourage prices to be affordable and competitive. Further work is to be completed to review these issues.	
	Access price should be affordable (2 comments)		

Tennis and Netball proposals

Consultation feedback		Officer response	Actions proposed
Broad themes	Further detail		
Quantitative feedback	Overall, 90% agreed overall (of this, 64% strongly agreed and 26% agreed) and 5% disagreed overall (of this, 3% disagreed and 2% strongly disagreed)	Officers have had ongoing stakeholder engagement with Southampton Netball League and Premier Tennis and the LTA, this appears to be reflected in strong support for the proposals.	Consultation will continue to ensure a balanced programme of activity is available. It is proposed to include the indoor training needs of Southampton Athletics Club, officers will also investigate the viability of indoor bowls within the covered facility.

Consultation feedback		Officer response	Actions proposed
Broad themes	Further detail		
Positive comments	General positive comments (11 comments)		Consideration will be given to enter into a city-wide review of tennis facilities with the LTA.
	Positive to encourage all year round use (9 comments)		
	Good to improve netball facilities (8 comments)		
	Good to improve tennis facilities (7 comments)		
Concerns and negative comments	There is already enough tennis access in the city (6 comments)	<p>The only public pay and play tennis facilities in the city are at the David Lloyd Leisure Centre. The Lawn Tennis Association have highlighted a shortage in the city.</p> <p>SCC will work with the operator to encourage prices to be affordable and competitive. Further work is to be completed to review these issues.</p> <p>The new arrangements will enable year- round programmes and a much broader use, such as schools.</p>	
	Cost concerns to use the tennis / netball facilities (6 comments)		
	Not enough demand for current tennis / netball courts (4 comments)		
	Other concerns / negative comments (3 comments)		
	Against covering the courts (2 comments)		
	Other (4 comments)		
Suggestion comments	Need better all-weather courts (not slippery / covered) (11 comments)	<p>The options for surfacing are being considered.</p> <p>There is a balance to be struck between development of facilities and user needs, there are also those that are keen to keep a number external court. A hall to cover more courts would have a greater impact on the centre.</p>	There will be further engagement National Governing Bodies and local organisations regarding the surfacing.
	More than 3 courts are needed (10 comments)		
	Other suggestions for tennis provisions (7 comments)		
	Include at least one outdoor court (6 comments)		
	Other general suggestions (5 comments)		

Consultation feedback		Officer response	Actions proposed
Broad themes	Further detail		
	Include facilities for padel tennis (5 comments)	The LTA have offered a further financial loan for padel tennis. This is an increasing popular sport played on a smaller court with smaller rackets.	Officers to investigate feasibility of padel tennis with LTA and basketball.
	Allow for public use (5 comments)	The hall should provide this.	
	Make the courts dual netball and basketball (5 comments)		
	Include a tennis wall / solo practise (4 comments)	Lighting levels will be reviewed as part of the works to ensure that they meet the requirements of the level of sport being played on the courts.	
	Other suggestions for netball provisions (2 comments)		
	Improve floodlighting for tennis (2 comments)		

Football proposals

Consultation feedback		Officer response	Actions proposed
Broad themes	Further detail		
Quantitative feedback	Overall, 79% agreed overall (of this, 55% strongly agreed and 23% agreed) and 12% disagreed overall (of this, 6% disagreed and 6% strongly disagreed)	To support the Football proposals and funding from Football Foundation, a Local Football Facility Plan (LFFP) has been reviewed. Nationally use of grass pitches is declining due to poor drainage, cost of maintenance and Artificial Grass Pitches (AGPs) being much more suitable for sports development. The success of the "sister site" at Stoneham Lane, Eastleigh has been significant, and Officers expect this to be replicated. The potential of this funding creates the opportunity for the council to consider this scale of improvement which it may not have been able to fund itself.	Officers will continue to work with the Football Foundation to develop programmes and use of the site as a central venue for junior football in Southampton, we are also receiving input from Southbrook Youth Football Club (YFC) who are based at the OSC and are a key user.
Positive comments	General positive comments about the proposal (19 comments)		

Consultation feedback		Officer response	Actions proposed
Broad themes	Further detail		
	Good to improve artificial provision (5 comments)	New Artificial Grass Pitches (AGP) for football will enable more use of the hockey pitches for hockey.	
	Football proposals will protect hockey pitches (4 comments)		
Concerns and negative comments	Concerns about the loss of grass pitches (other exercise is done here / bad for ecology / expensive) (81 comments)	Four grass football pitches will become AGPs and two grass pitches will remain, for the continued informal use of goals. Liaison with ecology officers and reports are to be carried out to ensure that the project minimises ecology impacts and that mitigations being explored.	Officers to consider with SAC appropriate areas for warm up and grass training. Review of signage to aid coexistence of sports users and recreational users of the centre.
	Football pitches are available in other parts of the City (19 comments)	There are Athletic Club users who use the grass pitches either side of the track to warm up, this can be overcome by designating alternative nearby warm up areas for Southampton Athletic Club (SAC) users. The amount of space that is still available at the OSC for recreational use remains significant. The Football Foundation carried out a demand assessment which revealed a shortage of AGPs in the City to support the analysis of demand and supply.	
	Football pitches don't need investment / other sports should be encourage (7 comments)	There is a national change to get football off grass onto all-weather surfaces, especially for juniors. This is described in the Football Foundations National Football Facility Strategy (NFFS).	
	Other concerns / negative comments (4 comments)		

Consultation feedback		Officer response	Actions proposed
Broad themes	Further detail		
	Comments about the net loss of a pitch (3 comments)		
	Other general comments (7 comments)		
Suggestion comments	Should be access for public use / to kick a ball around (17 comments)	There will continue to be many areas around the site for informal and recreational football use. The AGPs are managed space and damage can be caused by casual use.	
	Other suggestions (15 comments)	It is proposed to address the most significant drainage issues on the site.	
	Drainage to be sorted out / concerns (14 comments)	Two small pitches will be converted into a full-size football pitches, the designs include for one 11v11 and two 9v9 pitches.	
	Full size football pitches are needed (10 comments)	Lighting levels will be reviewed as part of the works. Lighting proposals are likely to include state of the art LED which will be designed to minimise light spillage.	
	Floodlighting concerns / needs to be directed (8 comments)	There are no plans to provide indoor football on this site.	
	Suggestions for partnering / funding (4 comments)	SCC will work with the operator to encourage prices to be affordable and competitive. Further work is to be completed to review these issues.	
	Indoor football (4 comments)		
	Needs to be financially accessible for everyone (4 comments)	Pitches will be secured with fencing – outside which there will be opportunity for spectators to watch in designated areas. Two pitches will be retained.	

Consultation feedback		Officer response	Actions proposed
Broad themes	Further detail		
	Need to secure the pitches (e.g. fencing) (3 comments)	There will be facilities and viewing areas for spectators in the hub and the changing rooms are being designed with input from the Football Foundation.	
	At least one grass pitch needs to be retained (3 comments)		
	Changing rooms for football (3 comments)		
	Spectator / viewing facilities (2 comments)		

Hockey proposals

Consultation feedback		Officer response	Actions proposed
Broad themes	Further detail		
Quantitative feedback	Overall, 88% agreed overall (of this, 56% strongly agreed and 32% agreed) and 3% disagreed overall (of this, 1% disagreed and 1% strongly disagreed)	Officers have worked closely with Southampton Hockey Club. The plans will allow the Club to expand and run more junior and female teams and programmes.	Officers will continue to work with Southampton Hockey Club, who are already very committed to use of the Hub as a new clubhouse.
Positive comments	Agree that hockey facilities are needed / positive comments about proposals (11 comments)		
Concerns and negative comments	Hockey is too niche for investment (4 comments)	There is no investment planned for new hockey pitches, a review / upgrade to the lighting is being carried out Hockey pitches are limited around the City, especially two pitches together which supports the objects of England Hockey for competitive play and tournaments.	
	Waste of money (4 comments)		
	Hockey pitches already in other places around the City, not needed (3 comments)		
	Other (2 comments)		
	More viewing / opportunities to spectate (11 comments)		

Consultation feedback		Officer response	Actions proposed
Broad themes	Further detail		
Suggestion comments		The condition of the bleacher seating will be reviewed, additional viewing will be possible from the first floor of the Hub Officers will review future maintenance regimes of all facilities with the management contractor (operator).	Maintenance regimes of all facilities are to be considered in future management and operation requirements.
	Pitches need professionally treating / resurfacing / improving (11 comments)		
	Better security to protect the pitches (9 comments)	The condition of the fencing will be reviewed and may need repair.	
	Floodlight improvement needed (7 comments)	Lighting levels will be reviewed as part of the works. Proposals are likely to include state of the art LED with minimal light spillage to current sporting specifications.	
	Concerned about the proposed level of floodlighting (6 comments)	Storage across the site will be reviewed alongside all club requirements across the site.	
	Storage space needed (5 comments)	Changing facilities will be accommodated in the Hub and designs will be developed in partnership with the local clubs and national governing bodies.	
	Changing spaces / showers for hockey matches (4 comments)	Football usage of hockey pitches will be reduced and minimised which will allow an increase in use for hockey.	
	Currently footballers damage the hockey pitches - needs addressing (3 comments)		

Consultation feedback		Officer response	Actions proposed
Broad themes	Further detail		
	Other suggestions (2 comments)		
	Make them hockey and football compatible (2 comments)		
	More dug out seating (2 comments)		

Alpine Snowsports proposals

Consultation feedback		Officer response	Actions proposed
Broad themes	Further detail		
Quantitative feedback	Overall, 92% agreed overall (of this, 70% strongly agreed and 23% agreed) and 3% disagreed overall (of this, 2% disagreed and 1% strongly disagreed)	Consultation has been undertaken with Active Nation (the current Operators), Snowsport England (the national Body) plus Club feedback on the design for the new facility. This is a regional facility which attracts users from across the area.	Workshops with each of the sports facilities across the site is ongoing to finalise designs.
Positive comments	Proposals look good in general (39 comments)	It is intended to replace and upgrade all surfaces, including an enlarged learner slope. The Alpine Lodge will be completely rebuilt/replaced.	Detailed design of the Alpine area is under review with Snowsport England input.
	Surface / slopes needs improving (19 comments)		
	Current site is tired / needs improvement (14 comments)		
	Enjoy the snow sports centre (10 comments)		
	Learner slope is a good idea (5 comments)		
Concerns and negative comments	Disagree if it encroaches onto surrounding land (13 comments)	Proposals do not encroach onto adjoining land, the additional slopes do not extend beyond the land that is currently fenced off for the ski slopes.	The design remains within the curtilage of the Snowsports facility.
	Snowsports isn't used / played enough (11 comments)	This facility helps to underpin the costs of the rest of the centre.	

Consultation feedback		Officer response	Actions proposed
Broad themes	Further detail		
	<p>Will cost too much to build (5 comments)</p> <p>Other concerns / negative comments (3 comments)</p> <p>Skiing is too much of an elite / expensive sport (3 comments)</p> <p>Other (5 comments)</p>		<p>Officers will continue to review the future programme for the Snowsports area to seek to ensure it is accessible, inclusive and varied.</p>
Suggestion comments	<p>Other general suggestions (18 comments)</p> <p>Provide adequate parking for the snow sports / Vermont close (16 comments)</p> <p>New café / viewing area would be welcomed here (15 comments)</p> <p>Slopes should be sufficient length / vertical drop (13 comments)</p> <p>Indoor real snow slope (9 comments)</p> <p>Ice rink (8 comments)</p>	<p>Parking capacity above the Alpine area will be reviewed. The additional parking proposed on site will significantly increase overall capacity.</p> <p>There will be vending facilities, an area for viewing and additionally for parties in a new purpose-built ski chalet.</p> <p>Technical design advice is being sought from Snowsport England to ensure that the design meets the sports requirements.</p> <p>Providing an indoor snow facility is beyond the economics of the project.</p> <p>Providing an ice rink is beyond the economics of the project and, due to the size of such a facility, would also result in the significant loss of open space or existing facilities at the OSC.</p> <p>Dendex to be considered along with other options for the surface material.</p> <p>New ski lifts are being considered in the design.</p>	<p>Officers to review future parking plans for entire site, including accessible bays and the provision of EV charging.</p>

Consultation feedback		Officer response	Actions proposed
Broad themes	Further detail		
	Surface must remain Dendex (8 comments)	Doughnuts to be retained, including storage. Proslope to be considered along with other options for the surface material.	
	Ski lifts need improvement (6 comments)	Lighting will be replaced.	
	Need to keep doughnuts / ski bobs (6 comments)	Completion of these facilities will involve a comprehensive marketing campaign.	
	Surface should be ProSlope (4 comments)	Ski Lodge will be replaced. Fencing to be reviewed.	
	Sufficient lighting (4 comments)	SCC will work with the operator to encourage prices to be affordable and competitive. Further work is to be completed to review these issues.	
	Other suggestions about the type of surface (3 comments)		
	More promotion / advertisement needed (3 comments)	Snowflex to be considered along with other options.	
	Need to upgrade the ski lodge (3 comments)	The design will consider sprinkler/mister provision. Lodge design will complement the other building styles.	
	Manage anti-social behaviour / implement secure fencing (3 comments)	'Donutting' is already catered for, toboggan provision is under review.	
	Should be financially / accessible for everyone (3 comments)	The final design anticipates some features being retained.	

Consultation feedback		Officer response	Actions proposed
Broad themes	Further detail		
	Surface should be SnowFlex (2 comments)		
	Add sprinkler / misters (2 comments)		
	Design of the ski lodge needs to look appealing (2 comments)		
	Tubing track / toboggan (2 comments)		
	Ramps / jumps for freestyle ski (2 comments)		

Athletics proposals

Consultation feedback		Officer response	Actions proposed
Broad themes	Further detail		
Quantitative feedback	Overall proposals - 91% agreed overall (of this, 66% strongly agreed and 25% agreed) and 3% disagreed overall	Officers have worked closely with Southampton Athletics Club (SAC) to ensure improvements will allow the Club to expand. Providing a balance of quality facilities, the ability to deliver quality training and at a venue that hosts regional events.	Officers will continue to work closely with Southampton Athletics Club as more detailed designs and improvements are developed. SAC have recently launched their new strategy and improvements will support and align with this.
	New athletics clubhouse proposals - 92% agreed overall (of this, 71% strongly agreed and 21% agreed) and 2% disagreed overall		
	New grandstand proposals - 90% agreed overall (of this, 67% strongly agreed and 23% agreed) and 3% disagreed overall		
Positive comments	Agree that facilities need to be improved in general (48 comments)		

Consultation feedback		Officer response	Actions proposed
Broad themes	Further detail		
	<p>General positive comments about the proposals (44 comments)</p> <p>Clubhouse needed (16 comments)</p> <p>Agree that a new grandstand is needed (11 comments)</p>	<p>A new clubhouse is proposed.</p> <p>A new grandstand has been included in the proposals.</p>	
Concerns and negative comments	Other disagreements / concerns (10 comments)	<p>In 2019 a survey of 136 Southampton Athletics Club (SAC) members outlined the reasons for a decrease in membership which in summary revolved around the decline in facilities at OSC. The high number of athletic user responses, 436, indicated a latent demand for athletics which the improved facilities will address. Membership is currently c400 and a recent draft SAC strategy suggests this will be increased significantly once the improvements have been delivered.</p> <p>There are certain criteria that has to be met regarding close proximity of a clubhouse to the track (e.g. first aid and PA announcement).</p> <p>The footprint for Athletics is not increasing it is therefore not going to have an impact on the surrounding land.</p>	
	Athletics track is not used (4 comments)		
	Athletics should not get special treatment / won't benefit everyone (4 comments)		
	Other clubhouses across the centre that can be used instead (2 comments)		
	Concerns it will encroach on surrounding parkland (2 comments)		
	Other (4 comments)		
	Need more information in consultation (2 comments)		

Consultation feedback		Officer response	Actions proposed
Broad themes	Further detail		
Suggestion comments	Need indoor / covered athletics space (96 comments)	Indoor space could be accommodated in the Sports Hall, available at certain times. Officers recognise the aspiration for an indoor athletics area, this would enable the club to replace a lot of off-site training currently held at local schools during the winter. Initial cost estimates suggest an indoor athletics facility would cost at least £2million, which is not allowed for in the current budget.	The exact scope and detail of works for the Athletics area is being developed alongside the feedback from the Public Consultation and workshops held with user input.
	Toilet / changing facilities necessary (39 comments)	Toilet facilities will be included in the new clubhouse. Track resurfacing is part of the management arrangements under operator responsibility.	
	Current track needs resurfacing / replacing (31 comments)	The grandstand capacity will likely increase from 150 to c250, which can accommodate national league events.	
	Improve the grandstand proposal / more seating (30 comments)		
	More provision for outdoor throwing / area needed (21 comments)	Casual use will continue to be accommodated A review of drainage is included especially on the infield area and east of the track where there is currently open drainage.	
	Other suggestions (18 comments)		
	Accessible for informal / public use (9 comments)		
	Better drainage is needed (9 comments)		

Consultation feedback		Officer response	Actions proposed
Broad themes	Further detail		
		The improvements will assist hosting bigger events, such as regional and/or national league events.	
	Should be attracting big events in general / be more ambitious (6 comments)	The Club have suffered considerably from break-ins, improvements to security are to be included.	
	Management of anti-social behaviour (5 comments)	It is anticipated the programme run by the Athletics Club continues to be inclusive to all levels. A development plan is being developed to encourage participation.	
	Important that all levels / ages / gender of people are considered (5 comments)	The buildings will have a similar design language matching the Alpine Lodge and Family Zone pavilion in keeping with buildings within a green parkland setting.	
	Building must be attractive / fit in (5 comments)	Increased storage to be accommodated within the grandstand.	
	Need more secure storage / portacabins (4 comments)	Scoring and officials rooms are being incorporated within the building's design.	
	Scoring / officials room (4 comments)	Opening times will be reviewed by the operator.	
		SCC will work with the operator to encourage prices to be affordable and competitive. Further work is to be completed to review these issues.	
		Schools will be able to book all facilities.	

Consultation feedback		Officer response	Actions proposed
Broad themes	Further detail		
	Extend opening times (3 comments)	Lighting levels are to be surveyed and reviewed so they provide appropriate lighting levels.	
	Reduced / reasonable rates for clubs / schools / individuals (3 comments)		
	Schools should be able to use (3 comments)		
	Sufficient lighting (2 comments)		

Cricket proposals

Consultation feedback		Officer response	Actions proposed
Broad themes	Further detail		
Quantitative feedback	Overall cricket proposals - 72% agreed overall (of this, 38% strongly agreed and 34% agreed) and 10% disagreed overall (of this, 5% disagreed and 5% strongly disagreed)	Officers have met with Southampton Midweek Cricket League, Hampshire Cricket and England Cricket Board. Whilst ideally the removal of any pitch is undesirable, research indicates on only one occasion during (normal) season of 2019 were all 5 pitches in use. Increased parking for an improved Outdoor Sports Centre is considered essential and the proposed replacement of one cricket pitch with parking is considered essential to the Draft Masterplan. The removal of one pitch has been considered carefully and outlined within the current draft Southampton Playing Pitch Strategy. Overall, it is considered the loss of one pitch is outweighed by the wider benefits brought to the overall site, including increased	A detailed mitigation plan for the loss of one pitch will be considered, including improved drainage, grounds maintenance, and a mix of artificial and grass wickets. The Project team will continue to work with Hampshire Cricket on a Cricket Development Plan for the site.
	Reducing cricket pitches (5-4) - 66% agreed overall (of this, 40% strongly agreed and 25% agreed) and 16% disagreed overall (of this, 8% disagreed and 8% strongly disagreed)		
	Cricket pitch changes - 76% agreed overall (of this, 44% strongly agreed and 33% agreed) and 9% disagreed overall (of this, 4% disagreed and 4% strongly disagreed)		

Consultation feedback		Officer response	Actions proposed
Broad themes	Further detail		
		<p>car parking close to the Hub building, new changing facilities plus improvements to the remaining cricket pitches.</p> <p>Additionally, the levels of support indicated a need for an increase in parking. Local residents have experienced the inconvenience caused as a result of insufficient parking, in the past temporary parking for events have used the existing gated entrances on Dunkirk road. The proposed location is also close to the valley bottom thereby minimising the impact to the overall views across the site. Other locations for parking were considered.</p>	
Positive comments	Positive comments about proposal (12 comments)		
	Positive comments about cricket provision in general (2 comments)		
Concerns and negative comments	Disagree with reduction of cricket pitches [in general] (33 comments)	See above comments against Quantitative feedback.	
	Against artificial wickets / prefer grass (30 comments)	Artificial wickets are more economic and are acknowledged by the ECB that overall, they assist the development of Cricket, enabling more usage throughout differing weather conditions.	
	Don't see cricket being used enough (8 comments)		
	Other concerns / negative comments (7 comments)		
	Disagree that there is problem with drainage (2 comments)		
	Other (5 comments)		
Suggestion comments	Artificial wickets should be alongside grass pitches / some artificial some grass (16 comments)	Artificial wickets are more economic and are acknowledged by the ECB that overall, they assist the development of Cricket, enabling more usage throughout differing weather	

Consultation feedback		Officer response	Actions proposed
Broad themes	Further detail		
		conditions. Exact locations will be decided alongside consultation with stakeholders.	
	Improvements to drainage are needed (15 comments)	Drainage on wickets and outfielders will be improved.	
	Other suggestions (13 comments)		
	Opinion of specialists / players is important (10 comments)	Dialogue with stakeholders continues.	
	More need for adult / high standard pitches over junior pitches (8 comments)	There are currently three adult and two junior pitches, the draft Southampton Playing Pitch Strategy supports the conversion to artificial pitches.	
		Mitigation improves both the drainage and providing all weather pitches.	
	Improved shelters / seating (8 comments)		
	Incorporate fencing (7 comments)		
	Cricket practise areas (e.g. nets) (7 comments)		
	Maintenance of the pitches is important (5 comments)		
	If no requirement for 4 / 5 pitches use the space for another sport / nature (4 comments)		
	Suggestions for scoring (3 comments)		
	Opportunities and access for all (3 comments)		

Family zone proposals

Consultation feedback		Officer response	Actions proposed
Broad themes	Further detail		
Quantitative feedback	Overall family zone proposals - 93% agreed overall (of this, 64% strongly agreed and 28% agreed) and 3% disagreed overall (of this, 2% disagreed and 1% strongly disagreed)	This area is in need of investment and transformation. Upgrading the Family Zone is a key part of transforming the Outdoor Sports Centre. Officers have worked with a number of partners including British Cycling (as part funders), Skate Southampton and Skateboard GB. The proposed plans are an exciting mix of informal recreation, play and skills development. Careful consideration is being given to the co-existence of these opportunities.	There is still a lot of detailed design work to be progressed for parts of the Family Zone, which the Project Team will continue to work on as part of the planning application process.
	Children's play area proposals - 91% agreed overall (of this, 70% strongly agreed and 22% agreed) and 3% disagreed overall		
	Family Zone Pavilion proposals - 90% agreed overall (of this, 66% strongly agreed and 24% agreed) and 2% disagreed overall		
	Skatepark proposals - 84% agreed overall (of this, 58% strongly agreed and 27% agreed) and 5% disagreed overall		
	Outdoor Gym proposals - 81% agreed overall (of this, 51% strongly agreed and 30% agreed) and 5% disagreed overall		
	Splash pad proposals - 80% agreed overall (of this, 56% strongly agreed and 24% agreed) and 5% disagreed overall		
Positive comments	General positive comments about proposals (50 comments)	The designs will develop to review the inclusion of all the below input.	
	Skate park would be positive (42 comments)		
	Family area needs investment (22 comments)		
	Splash park would be positive (18 comments)		
	Outdoor gym will be positive (5 comments)		
Concerns and negative comments	Skate / bike park could make it unsafe for young children / move it elsewhere (37 comments)	The skate and cycling facilities will be designed to incorporate separation to seek to maximise the health and safety of the OSC. The outdoor gym will be used to compliment programmes activated alongside the indoor gym and is used for events.	
	Outdoor gym will not be used (13 comments)		

Consultation feedback		Officer response	Actions proposed
Broad themes	Further detail		
	Don't need a skate park (6 comments)	There was strong support in the Consultation for a skate park.	All opportunities for improving the quality of children's play activities at the site will be explored.
	Don't need a splash pad (6 comments)	There was strong support in the Consultation for a splash pad.	
	OSC should only be for sports not family fun (4 comments)	The Family Zone proposals reported some of the highest levels of agreement. Those that visit Children's play areas agreed with the proposals more than average (96% total agreed and 2% total disagreed). Analysis of Consultation results indicated a high level of users attended the site in order to meet friends and family.	
	Do not need a new children's play park (3 comments)	New Children's Play equipment is considered essential for this area due to the range and quality of the existing place provision.	
	Pavilion in this area not needed (2 comments)	The Pleasure Park is a separately run commercial franchise (sublet from the operator) the leaseholder is responsible for all equipment and investment.	
	Other disagreements / concerns (1 comments)		
	Questions around what will happen to Pleasure Park (9 comments)	The area that was previously a boating lake area will accommodate learn to ride and a pump track for Cycling and Skateboarding. Some years ago, a pond was developed to enhance the biodiversity at the OSC and to accommodate an increase in wildlife.	
	Other general comments (7 comments)		
	Questions around what will happen to Boating Lake (3 comments)		

Consultation feedback		Officer response	Actions proposed
Broad themes	Further detail		
	Don't know what pump track and splash pad are (3 comments)		
Suggestion comments	Skate / cycle park needs to be bigger / improved plans (75 comments)	This area is limited but it is felt to be sized appropriately given the space available on the site.	All opportunities for improving the quality of children's play activities at the site will be explored.
	Bring back boating lake (52 comments)	The proposals outlined in the draft masterplan reflect the current sporting and recreational interests together with those opportunities for partnership funding which the Council has been invited to apply for. The construction of the former boating lake is not appropriate for a swimming pool.	
	Swimming area / pool needed (47 comments)	The Pleasure Park is a separately run commercial franchise (sublet from the operator) the leaseholder is responsible for all equipment and investment.	
	Current fun fair / Pleasure Park should be kept / improved rather than removed (37 comments)	Final designs of the splash zone are being explored to optimise what is provided.	
	Other suggestions (25 comments)	Lighting will address some of these issues. The main office for the management operator will also move to the hub. The management contractor will be encouraged to continue to work in partnership with the police to address the anti- social behaviour on the site.	
	Larger / improved splash zone (21 comments)		
	Get rid of Pleasure Park / current play area (21 comments)		
	Need security / manage anti-social behaviour (20 comments)		

Consultation feedback		Officer response	Actions proposed
Broad themes	Further detail		
		Activities for all age groups will be provided across the site.	Detailed plans to ensure compliance with accessibility needs.
	Activities for all age groups / older children (17 comments)	The Pavilion will serve ice cream and drinks adjacent the Family Zone. The building will also house toilets and biking support, detail is yet to be finalised.	
	Expand tea servery (e.g. include ice cream / food) (16 comments)	A Development Plan agreed between SCC and BC will ensure accessibility options.	
		Benches will be incorporated.	
		A skate area is being incorporated.	
	Consider people with all disabilities (e.g. wheelchair accessible / SEN equipment) (16 comments)	The developing design does increase the Family Zone.	
		Maintenance will be reviewed in the light of providing new facilities that will require improved regimes.	
	Add benches / shaded area (11 comments)	Lighting across the site will improve.	
	Include a beginner / learner skate area (10 comments)	It is expected the design will increase all-year round usage.	
	Family zone needs to be bigger in general (9 comments)	New proposed parking is nearer than before.	
	Needs good maintenance of the area / facilities (8 comments)	Rollerblades will be able to be used on some cycling areas.	
		There are no plans as yet to incorporate sand play areas.	
	Adequate lighting in skatepark (8 comments)	An outdoor gym is being considered for inclusion adjacent the Family Zone.	

Consultation feedback		Officer response	Actions proposed
Broad themes	Further detail		
	Need the facilities to be suitable for use all year round (e.g. materials, covering) (7 comments)	<p>The proposed location of the Family Zone currently does not negatively impact on the key facilities on the site as it is currently underutilised. It is also located furthest from local residents to avoid nuisance from noise and closest to other children's facilities.</p> <p>Each of the areas within the Family Zone are likely to be fenced off.</p> <p>Model boat sailing is not considered to be a viable option.</p> <p>The swings will be near to the splash pad.</p> <p>There are no plans as yet to incorporate sand play areas.</p> <p>The design of the Pavilion will reflect the green setting and site environment.</p>	
	Too far from parking (7 comments)		
	Include rollerblade area (6 comments)		
	Shouldn't use sand on the play area (5 comments)		
	Add strength-training equipment in outdoor gym (4 comments)		
	Move the location of Family Zone (4 comments)		
	Fence off children's / adult areas (2 comments)		
	Model boat sailing (2 comments)		
	Add enough swings to the park (2 comments)		

Consultation feedback		Officer response	Actions proposed
Broad themes	Further detail		
	Need a sandpit area (2 comments)		
	Should be aesthetically pleasing (2 comments)		

Cycling proposals

Consultation feedback		Officer response	Actions proposed
Broad themes	Further detail		
Quantitative feedback	Overall cycling proposals - 91% agreed overall (of this, 62% strongly agreed and 30% agreed) and 3% disagreed overall (of this, 2% disagreed and 1% strongly disagreed).	Considerable consultation has taken place with Bike Park users, Sotonia Cycling Club, British Cycling and Southampton City Council Officers responsible for Sustainable Travel. The Bike Park has evolved over the last 10 years, primarily through volunteers. A benefit from improving the Bike Park (such as resurfacing, safety improvements and fencing) will afford it to be affiliated with British Cycling as a registered club.	As a condition of British Cycling funding, a Cycling Development Plan and Cycling Steering Group are required to be set up to 'activate' Cycling within the OSC and also within a City-wide strategic approach.
	New learn to ride proposals - 89% agreed overall (of this, 63% strongly agreed and 26% agreed) and 4% disagreed overall.		
	Woodland zone proposals - 88% agreed overall (of this, 61% strongly agreed and 27% agreed) and 3% disagreed overall.		
	BMX bike park proposals - 86% agreed overall (of this, 59% strongly agreed and 27% agreed) and 3% disagreed overall.		
	New pump track proposals - 84% agreed overall (of this, 57% strongly agreed and 27% agreed) and 4% disagreed overall.		
	Cyclocross features proposals - 82% agreed overall (of this, 55% strongly agreed and 26% agreed) and 4% disagreed overall.		
Positive comments	General positive comments about proposals (44 comments)		
	Positive comments about learn to ride (24 comments)		
	Cyclocross is positive / should be a priority (11 comments)		

Consultation feedback		Officer response	Actions proposed
Broad themes	Further detail		
	Existing facility is good (8 comments)		
	Other positive comments (1 comments)		
Concerns and negative comments	Learn to ride pointless - taught at schools / elsewhere (15 comments)	The proposed Bikeability programme, will be integrated with the current offer to schools across the City. This aligns with the British Cycling Places to Ride scheme.	
	No changes should be made to the Woodland Area (12 comments)	Only resurfacing works to existing pathways is proposed, this area is already used informally for this purpose and explain how the design will protect this area from damage.	
	Other disagreements / concerns (8 comments)	Previous consultation, especially with Sotonia Cycling Club, indicates a demand for a pump track is a key part of a Cycling development pathway.	
	Pump track is not needed (8 comments)		
	Concerns about cyclocross / woodland zones causing environmental damage (7 comments)	The Woodland Zone resurfacing is maintenance and will not have adverse environmental damage. A preliminary ecology appraisal has been completed for the site, a subsequent Ecology Mitigation Strategy will advise requirements.	
	General disagreements with proposals (4 comments)	Consultation with British Cycling should produce a design that ensures areas will integrate in a safe way.	
	Against a cyclocross at the Sports Centre (3 comments)		
	Concerns about the closeness of learn-to-ride area and pump track (3 comments)		

Consultation feedback		Officer response	Actions proposed
Broad themes	Further detail		
	Too over-ambitious (3 comments)		
	Not enough cyclists / majority won't benefit (2 comments)		
	Other general comments (16 comments)		
Suggestion comments	Bring back velodrome / tarmac cycling track (29 comments)	This is not considered an economic option. Previously there was a cycle track which surrounded the athletics track, this was removed to increase the size of the athletics track and in addition there are health and safety implications of locating cycling tracks around athletics tracks. A number of locations for a cycling track have been explored in the past but it was not possible to identify a suitable location without significant impact on the overall site.	
	National size / better BMX facility (26 comments)	The improvements are considered proportionate for the region.	
	Other general suggestions (15 comments)	Fencing is proposed around the Bike Park for safety reasons, to seek to prevent access by young children and dogs not on a lead.	
	Manage anti-social behaviour / security / fencing around cycle area (12 comments)	Particular advice has been sought from British Cycling plus consultation with stakeholders.	
	Ask for expert help on cycle facilities (9 comments)	There will be an element of integration and mixed use between different wheeled activities which will be incorporated in the designs.	
	Learn to ride suggestions (7 comments)		
	Combine all cycling / skate areas together (7 comments)	It is proposed to retain the informal use of the trails.	

Consultation feedback		Officer response	Actions proposed
Broad themes	Further detail		
		Pump track size is considered to be appropriate given the space available and the need to accommodate a number of different facilities on one site.	
	Purpose-build mountain bike / cross country trails (6 comments)	Both of bike skills and a workshop are intended to be included at the Pavilion, adjacent the Family Zone.	
	Increase size and quality of pump track (6 comments)	The Bike Park and Family Zone cycling will be fenced off.	
	Bike skill sessions / area (6 comments)	Officers will maximise contacts with British Cycling.	
	Cycle workshop / hiring of bikes (5 comments)	Informal family Cycling will still be encouraged across the site.	
	Area should be fenced off (5 comments)	Toilets will be accommodated in the Family Zone Pavilion.	
	Use Olympic Cyclists to promote and inspire (4 comments)		
	Consideration for non-competitive / families of cyclists (3 comments)		
	Clean toilet facilities needed in this area (3 comments)		

Car parking proposals

Consultation feedback		Officer response	Actions proposed
Broad themes	Further detail		
Quantitative feedback	Overall car parking proposals - 78% agreed overall (of this, 48% strongly agreed and 30% agreed) and 11% disagreed overall (of this, 7% disagreed and 4% strongly disagreed).	Car Parking proposals have been considered in context of discussions with the Operator, User groups, significant parking needs for events, complaints from residents of Dunkirk Road and other roads in the local area, and concerns about security and safety. The number of car parking spaces proposed is considered appropriate for the increased facilities planned for within the Draft Masterplan and significant engagement has been undertaken with National Governing Bodies of Sport to ascertain travel habits and user requirements.	The proposals reflect the detailed Travel and Transport Plans being developed, these enable detailed designs and management arrangements to be further considered. Parking proposals need to align with the Green City Charter and BREEAM (sustainability) approach to the project.
	Increasing the number of car parking spaces proposals - 79% agreed overall (of this, 56% strongly agreed and 23% agreed) and 11% disagreed overall (of this, 6% disagreed and 5% strongly disagreed).		
	Including electric car charging points proposals - 80% agreed overall (of this, 51% strongly agreed and 29% agreed) and 4% disagreed overall.		
Positive comments	Agree that more car parking provision needed / proposals (113 comments)	The number of EV charging stations is yet to be determined.	
	Good to include electric charging points (20 comments)		
Concerns and negative comments	Disagree with removing green space for parking (93 comments)	Traffic implications of proposals will be considered by SCC Transport team The need for additional parking for increased facilities and events (such as football) has been carefully calculated, alongside BREEAM requirements. The levels of support indicated a need for an increase in parking. Local residents have experienced the inconvenience caused as a result of insufficient parking, in the past temporary parking for events have used the existing gated entrances on Dunkirk road. The proposed location is also close to the valley bottom thereby minimising the impact to the overall views across the site. Other locations for parking were considered. Alternatives access to car parking would have had a greater impact on the centre and this	
	Traffic / pollution concerns (59 comments)		

Consultation feedback		Officer response	Actions proposed
Broad themes	Further detail		
		was considered the location which would minimise the impact overall.	
	Generally disagree with the need for extra parking (48 comments)	The proposed parking numbers are appropriate for the increased use of the facilities.	
	Improve safety on Dunkirk / Lordswood Rd (45 comments)	The Dunkirk and Lordswood road junction will be assessed by Transport Officers at SCC.	
	Misuse of the carpark from hospital staff (26 comments)	The potential misuse of the Dunkirk Road car park will be considered as part of future management arrangements for car parking.	
	Unsure about the demand for parking / only busy on event days (12 comments)	The levels of support indicated a need for an increase in parking. Local residents have experienced the inconvenience caused as a result of insufficient parking, in the past temporary parking for events have used the existing gated entrances on Dunkirk road.	
	Don't need electric charging points (10 comments)	The provision of electric car charging points is likely to be a planning condition as much as a requirement for the future.	
	Other disagreements / concerns (7 comments)	Issues relating to parking in nearby streets is a separate issue outside the remit of this project and will be passed on to relevant officers.	
	Negative comments about electric cars (5 comments)		
	Concerns around drainage (5 comments)		
	Disagree with parent and child parking spaces (3 comments)		

Consultation feedback		Officer response	Actions proposed
Broad themes	Further detail		
	Issues with on-street / double yellow line parking nearby (46 comments)		
	Other general comments (16 comments)		
Suggestion comments	Encourage sustainable travel to the site / should not encourage driving in general (109 comments)	Reviews of sustainable travel to the site form part of a Sustainable Travel Plan.	
	Better access for active travel (e.g. cycle lanes, walking routes) (107 comments)	Better access for active travel will be considered as apart of Travel Plan.	
	Increased / improved bus routes / public transport (106 comments)	Bus routes will be considered as part of Travel Plan.	
	Need to manage anti-social behaviour in the car park / add lighting (32 comments)	Lighting improvements are planned across the site. An accessibility review is being undertaken.	
	Disabled / push chair access must be considered for parking (27 comments)	Access to the site from all roads will be considered.	
	Access to car park could be better for car drivers (25 comments)	Thornhill road car park will be retained as well. The future use of the existing Dunkirk road car park will be reviewed.	
	Improvement / keep the old car park instead of new one (23 comments)	It is considered there are no viable alternatives.	
	Put car parking elsewhere in the park (20 comments)	Management arrangements of car parking are to be reviewed in due course.	

Consultation feedback		Officer response	Actions proposed
Broad themes	Further detail		
	Other general suggestions (19 comments)	<p>The Centre is considered traffic free and use is only by authorised staff or those with special exemption, such as disabled people.</p> <p>Using another cricket pitch for car parking is not considered a viable option.</p> <p>Lighting improvements are planned across the site.</p> <p>Parking near the Alpine Lodge is being reviewed to increase availability, including disabled.</p> <p>There are no plans to change the current arrangement of free parking at this time.</p> <p>Numbers of car parking spaces proposed are considered appropriate.</p> <p>Parking for coaches and minibuses will be prioritised in the Thornhill Road car park.</p> <p>Bike hire is being considered from the site. No plans are proposed to accommodate Park and Ride from this site.</p> <p>The Dunkirk Road car park will be designed in woodland style with an appropriate surface and planting.</p> <p>There are no plans to remove the Bowling greens for car parking.</p> <p>All below comments and suggestions are being explored alongside the wider City requirements, policies and standards the council develops. These suggestions will also</p>	
	Make carpark restricted users / charge for parking (19 comments)		
	Remove cars from driving through the park (16 comments)		
	Use another cricket pitch for carpark (14 comments)		
	Need to improve car signage for the car park/s (13 comments)		
	More / improved car parking needed at the Snow Sports Centre (13 comments)		
	Parking should be free (11 comments)		
	Not enough proposed new parking spaces (10 comments)		
	Provision for coach parking (10 comments)		
	Park and Ride / Cycle facility (9 comments)		

Consultation feedback		Officer response	Actions proposed
Broad themes	Further detail		
		be shared with relevant officers across the council.	
	Surround car park with greens / trees (8 comments)		
	Using Bowling area for carpark (8 comments)		
	Electric car suggestions (4 comments)		
	Keep the road through the sports centre (3 comments)		
	Make the carpark multistorey instead (3 comments)		
	Offer a tram service (3 comments)		
	Too much parking proposed (3 comments)		
	Width between spaces must be considered (3 comments)		
	Put in residents parking scheme in local area (2 comments)		
	Car sharing schemes / car club (2 comments)		
	Consider other material besides tarmac (2 comments)		
	Control plans for large scale events (2 comments)		

Site improvements proposals

Consultation feedback		Officer response	Actions proposed
Broad themes	Further detail		
Quantitative feedback	Overall site improvements - 96% agreed overall (of this, 73% strongly agreed and 22% agreed) and 1% disagreed overall (of this, 1% disagreed and 0% strongly disagreed)	The Public Consultation included a range of draft additional improvements, derived from Stakeholder and funder engagement, to deliver best practice design from experts such as Sport England. Their input covers recreational usage to improve the facilities.	

Consultation feedback		Officer response	Actions proposed
Broad themes	Further detail		
Positive comments	General positive comments about proposals (10 comments)	The provision of outdoor table tennis tables were included in the Masterplan.	
	Table tennis provision would be good (11 comments)		
Concerns and negative comments	Table tennis site will not be used (7 comments)		
	Other negative comments / concerns (2 comments)		
	Questions about the definition of informal recreation (2 comments)		
Suggestion comments	Improve / increase / later opening times toilet facilities (91 comments)	The new buildings will contain new facilities, we anticipate these will improve user experience and, by opening longer, increase their wellbeing opportunities.	Opening times for all toilets needs to reflect user demands and needs discussion with the Operator.
	Secure cycle / scooter storage is necessary (73 comments)	Secure cycle and scooter storage has been incorporated into the cycling plans.	
	More bins / litter provision needed (63 comments)	Better provision of bins will be incorporated in final designs and operational arrangements.	
	Better lighting needed / will improve safety (38 comments)	A lighting survey has been undertaken for the entire site with a view to safety improvements.	
	Pathways and signage to separate pedestrians / bikes / runners (32 comments)	Updated signage throughout the site is to be incorporated in the plans.	
	Improve / increase café / eating facilities (24 comments)	The Hub and the Pavilion will accommodate differing food and beverage services providing a social aspect to the site.	
	Measured / tarmacked walking and running route (22 comments)	Measured walking and running routes will be considered in general signage improvements. Many of the perimeter pathways have naturally evolved, consideration to be given to resurfacing where affordable.	

Consultation feedback		Officer response	Actions proposed
Broad themes	Further detail		
		Increased seating and benches will be incorporated across the site.	
	Pathway around the perimeter of the grounds (17 comments)	Improved maintenance regimes should preserve the pathways and their varied use.	
	Improvements / increase of seating and benches (13 comments)	Woodland Zone plans will be suitable for both bikes and walkers.	
	Other general suggestions (10 comments)	Updated signage throughout the site is to be incorporated in the plans.	
	Better pathways / should be maintained [in general] (10 comments)	The Hub will provide increased shelter.	
	Pathways suitable for bikes and walkers / be wider (8 comments)	Nature trails and information boards are being considered.	
	Signage for dog walkers (collect poo, keep on a lead, ect.) (8 comments)	BBQ facilities are unlikely to be provided.	
	Seating areas should be covered / sheltered (7 comments)	Seating materials are very likely to be manufactured from recycled materials.	
	Nature trails / information boards (6 comments)	Updated signage throughout the site is to be incorporated in the plans.	
	BBQ areas / facilities (4 comments)		
	Consideration of seating materials (e.g. recycled, non-flammable) (4 comments)		

Consultation feedback		Officer response	Actions proposed
Broad themes	Further detail		
	Better signage / maps around the park (4 comments)		
	Improvement of cycle signage needed (3 comments)		
	Disabled / more accessible paths (3 comments)		
	Ban BBQs (2 comments)		
	Speed limit for cyclists (2 comments)		

Sustainable measures

Consultation feedback		Officer response	Actions proposed
Broad themes	Further detail		
Positive comments	Happy to see Green City Commitments (6 comments)	The Design Team are working with the Sustainability Team to ensure that plans align with ongoing City Council commitments.	Ongoing development of design requirements to meet a BREEAM 'excellent' rating and Green City Charter commitments.
	Agree that proposals should be environmentally friendly (3 comments)		
Concerns and negative comments	Other disagreements / concerns (4 comments)		
	Green city / carbon reduction is not realistic / desired objective (3 comments)		
	Other general comments (4 comments)		
Suggestion comments	More wilding greens / support biodiversity (54 comments)	The project supports the biodiversity requirements of the planning application. The ecological mitigation strategy will inform the exact requirements. The team are looking to incorporate photovoltaic cells (PV) plus electrical charging (EV) to both cars and bicycles.	
	Solar powered facilities on buildings (solar panels, solar thermals) (34 comments)		

Consultation feedback		Officer response	Actions proposed
Broad themes	Further detail		
	Other suggestions for environmental improvements (14 comments)	<p>An increase in drinking water provision around the site will be accommodated.</p> <p>A review of tree planting and additional provision is part of the arboricultural surveys.</p> <p>The project intends to capture as many sustainable design solutions as possible to meet, or exceed, all of these elements.</p>	
	Water / fountains and filling stations / promoting reuse (13 comments)		
	Additional tree planting needed / increase green space (11 comments)		
	Rainwater toilets / facilities (8 comments)		
	Build with sustainable materials / on the same footprint as previously (6 comments)		
	Wind power facilities (6 comments)		
	Green roofs (5 comments)		
	No single-use plastic in cafes (4 comments)		
	Energy generating equipment (3 comments)		
	Open up a natural stream (2 comments)		
	Be fully carbon neutral (2 comments)		
	Suggestions for partnerships (2 comments)		

Overall feedback on the proposals

Consultation feedback		Officer response	Actions proposed
Broad themes	Further detail		
Quantitative feedback	"I would like to see improvements at Southampton Outdoor Sports Centre" levels of agreements - 97% agreed overall (of this, 82% strongly agreed and 14% agreed) and 1% disagreed overall	These levels of agreement demonstrate very strong levels of overall support for the project.	

	<p>Overall levels of agreement with all proposals - 93% agreed overall (of this, 64% strongly agreed and 29% agreed) and 3% disagreed overall</p> <p>Impacts of the proposals: - Your community (90% positive, 4% no impact, 3% negative) - You and your family (87% positive, 8% no impact, 3% negative) - Your mental health and wellbeing (79% positive, 16% no impact, 3% negative) - Your level of physical activity (78% positive, 18% no impact, 2% negative)</p> <p>Use of the Outdoor Sports Centre if proposals implemented - 85% would increase use, 12% no change and 3% would decrease use)</p>	<p>These potential impacts provide evidence that the proposals will contribute to wider health and community outcomes from the project, in line with SCC strategic objectives.</p>	
<p>Positive comments</p>	<p>General positive comments about proposals (202 comments)</p> <p>Current provision needs investment (116 comments)</p> <p>Enjoy using the Sports Centre in general (46 comments)</p> <p>Will improve physical wellbeing (26 comments)</p> <p>Will improve mental wellbeing (17 comments)</p> <p>Other positive comments (4 comments)</p>		
<p>Concerns and negative comments</p>	<p>Funding concerns (30 comments)</p> <p>Concerns about the price of facilities (26 comments)</p> <p>Wouldn't visit due to external factors (e.g. location, age, ability) (24 comments)</p>	<p>Securing funding for all the improvements is a risk to the project, the masterplan is subject to the funding being secured. All opportunities for applying and securing funding for this project are being explored.</p> <p>SCC will work with the operator to encourage prices to be affordable and competitive. Further work is to be completed to review these issues.</p>	

		<p>There is funding in the capital programme and there are currently opportunities to apply for funding from external partners.</p> <p>Lighting technology has improved significantly in recent years with reduced spillage and increased efficiency.</p> <p>The aim is to focus on the sports that in the main are already using the site and improve the facilities for those sports to enable them to thrive and to provide opportunities for increased health and wellbeing. The improvements will benefit local residents in terms of improved car parking but also everyone from the recreational user to the sporting participant. It is already a venue that attracts families, the aim is to increase the facilities to serve this user group.</p>	<p>The project team are surveying the lighting across the site and the extent of improvements will be subject to funding.</p>
	Concerns plans won't be carried out / don't trust the council (14 comments)		
	Concerns about floodlights / light pollution from the Sports Centre (12 comments)		
	Other negative comments / concerns (9 comments)		
	Concerned about building and noise impacts for residents (6 comments)		
	Sports Centre should be left as it is / no improvement needed (3 comments)		
	Too much in one location (3 comments)		
	Don't want too many people using it as it is currently quiet (3 comments)		
General comments	<p>Comments about improving other facilities / aspects in Southampton [not related to OSC consultation] (36 comments)</p> <p>Other general comments (17 comments)</p> <p>Comments about the consultation process / survey (11 comments)</p> <p>Ensure OSC benefits everyone in the city (e.g. encourage those from east side) (3 comments)</p>	<p>Investment to bring the facilities up to a good quality will ensure users can continue to enjoy them well into the future. Results from the consultation inform that the majority of respondents (78%) said that if the changes outlined in the consultation were implemented, there would be a positive impact on their level of physical activity.</p>	

	Haven't been to the Outdoor Sports Centre (2 comments)		
Suggestion comments	Retain open green space for walking / non-organised activities over developments (79 comments)	It is not considered the proposed improvements will be detrimental for walkers and the site will remain attractive for informal use, there will be areas such as the football pitches that will be fenced but these would not have been areas where dog walking or informal use would have been encouraged previously.	It is proposed that investment in the View is reviewed in the context of improvements to the site, this is currently out of scope. This facility is currently the subject of a lease with Active Nation the operator of the site. It is proposed that future investment in the Bowls greens is reviewed in the light of SCC strategic priorities.
	Need better management and maintenance [general] (34 comments)	Management and maintenance arrangements will need to be reviewed as an inheritance to the improvements.	
	View bar should be kept / supported / improved (26 comments)	There are no plans for dog agility training at this time but plenty of space for informal use.	
	Other suggestions (25 comments)	More regional and national events will be possible as a result of improvements.	
	Dog areas (e.g. training / agility / lead off) (23 comments)	Use of the Bowls greens has been declining, reflecting national trends.	
	Need more promotion / advertisement (14 comments)		
	Be a venue for holding national sporting events / centre of excellence (13 comments)		
	No mention / need to improve Bowling Greens (11 comments)	The whole site is the subject of a drainage survey with priorities to be agreed.	
	Improve visual appearance (10 comments)	The improvements will benefit local residents for everyone from the recreational user to the	

Address drainage issues (10 comments)	sporting participant. It is already a venue that attracts families, the aim is to increase the facilities to serve this user group.	
Prioritise different sports instead of just common / major ones (10 comments)	There are no plans to remove petanque at this time. The View is the subject of a lease to 2025.	
Hold community events (8 comments)		
Dogs need to be kept on a lead (7 comments)	The improvements are designed to enhance the experience for more to enjoy.	
Improve / retain petanque pitch (7 comments)	A City-wide approach to electric scooters will inform provision and/or facilities at the OSC.	
The View' needs removal (5 comments)	The buildings will have a similar design language matching the Alpine Lodge and Family Zone pavilion in keeping with buildings in a green parkland setting.	
Prioritise sports (5 comments)		
Don't lose Sports Centre character / ambience (5 comments)	Work at the golf course is not part of this project.	
Ban electric scooters (4 comments)	The improvements should provide a synergy to users connecting between the facilities. The booking system is to be decided by the Operator.	
Make buildings attractive in general (4 comments)	Additional vendors on the site is currently decided by the Operator.	Booking systems are being investigated with funders and stakeholders to include data capture and web enabled booking.
Utilise wasted space in Golf Course (3 comments)		

		Future use of The White House is yet to be confirmed.	
	Ensure a joined up approach for all facilities (3 comments)		
	Proposals in general should go further (3 comments)		
	Improve booking system (2 comments)		
	No vendors allowed with their vehicles on (e.g. ice cream / burger vans) (2 comments)		
	Better viewing areas / stadium in general (2 comments)		
	Bring back the White House (2 comments)		
New activity / facility suggestion comments	Badminton courts (35 comments)	To accommodate Badminton would require significant additional costs in the design of the covered courts which are not affordable or within the remit of this existing project. The focus has been to improve facilities for existing sports already on the site. Consideration will be given to viability of other sports using the covered tennis /netball courts.	Officers to investigate feasibility of making the tennis and netball facilities available for basketball.
	Basketball Courts (29 comments)	The focus is to improve the facilities for the sports already on the site, accommodating squash courts, climbing walls and volleyball would require significant additional costs in the design. They are therefore not considered financially viable with the available project budget.	
	Other specific facility requests (21 comments)		
	Squash facilities (13 comments)		
	Climbing wall (11 comments)	There are no plans to provide a train across the OSC at this time.	
	Volleyball Courts (5 comments)		

	Train across the park (4 comments)	Rugby is accommodated at nearby Lordshill Recreation Ground and also Test Park.	
	Rugby facilities (3 comments)	It is possible that facilities could be booked for archery, but this would need to be explored with the operator, in particular the health and safety implications associated with doing this at such a busy site make this proposal unlikely. There is an archery club that meets at Trojans Sports Club.	
	Zip line / high wire (3 comments)		
	Archery (2 comments)	Wifi provision is being considered. There are no plans to provide chess facilities at the OSC but there is nothing to prevent chess being played in the Hub on a casual basis.	
	Free wifi (2 comments)		
	Interactive areas / equipment (2 comments)		
	Chess facilities (2 comments)		
Safety Suggestion comments	Manage anti-social behaviour and vandalism in the park [generally] (53 comments)	The improvements will be designed to be as robust as possible. It is felt increased use of the site, improved lighting and opportunities for a wide age range will improve the use of the site.	The project team will review the building design's so they comply with secure by design principles. The management contractor will be encouraged to continue to work in partnership with the police to address the anti-social behaviour on the site.
	Visible staff / security to prevent anti-social behaviour (34 comments)	Staff visibility is currently decided by the Operator.	
	Secure anti-motorcycle measures (29 comments)	The increased use of the site, improved lighting and CCTV all contribute to towards reducing anti-social behaviour. The management contractor will be encouraged to continue to work in partnership with the police	

		<p>to address the anti- social behaviour on the site.</p> <p>There will be increased CCTV as part of improvements.</p>	
	CCTV needed to manage anti-social behaviour (22 comments)		
Access / considerations comments	Needs to be accessible for all regardless of ability / gender / pay (45 comments)	This principle will be 'designed-in' with programmes of use as inclusive as possible.	
	Consideration for older adults (11 comments)	The improvements should provide a mix of activities for all to enjoy.	
	Should be discounts / free for local residents / clubs (6 comments)	All pricing to be reviewed, but subject to agreement by SCC.	
	Should not be booking-only for facilities (5 comments)	As far as possible 'casual use' will be accommodated.	